From: PETERSON Jenn L

Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA To:

Subject: RE: TCT Agenda and Round 2 Report Review Status

By "send out" the problem formulation by this Friday, are you referring to the internal group for review or the LWG? I would really like to have time to look over the whole package.

--Original Message --From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov] Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2008 4:33 PM Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2008 4:33 PM
To: Shephard.Burt@epamail.epa.gov; Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov;
Black.Curt@epamail.epa.gov; Davoli.Dana@epamail.epa.gov; GAINER Tom;
Grepo-Grove.Gina@epamail.epa.gov; PETERSON Jenn L; jeremy\_buck@fws.gov;
ANDERSON Jim M; Goulet.Joe@epamail.epa.gov; Smith.Judy@epamail.epa.gov;
Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov; MCCLINCY Matt; howp@critfc.org; POULSEN
Mike; Fuentes.Rene@epamail.epa.gov; Robert.Neely@noaa.gov;
Sheldrake.Sean@epamail.epa.gov; tomd@ctsi.nsn.us; csmith@parametrix.com;
Grepsemer@marametrix.com; rose@yakama.gov; arin maddan@mail.com; rgensemer@parametrix.com; rose@yakama.com; erin.madden@gmail.com; Ron.Gouguet@noaa.gov; jay.field@noaa.gov; Cora.Lori@epamail.epa.gov; Ader.Mark@epamail.epa.gov; BBarquin@hk-law.com; audiehuber@ctuir.com; Lisa.Bluelake@grandronde.org; sheila@ridolfi.com; Benjamin Shorr; LavelleJM@cdm.com; Mary.Baker@noaa.gov; Michael.Karnosh@grandronde.org; FARRER David G; dallen@stratusconsulting.com; peers@stratusconsulting.com; (b) (6)
Cc: lbernardini@parametrix.com; Yamamoto.Deb@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: TCT Agenda and Round 2 Report Review Status

Our TCT Meeting will take place tomorrow beginning scheduled.

1, the TCT number is available (Non-Responsive; access code Non-) and we will be in Room C, DEQ NWR in Portland.

## Agenda:

Early Action Update
Portland Harbor RI/FS Update:
Field work: The round 3B sediment sampling and lamprey laboratory toxicity testing are complete.
Upcoming Sampling efforts: We reviewed the LWG's proposal for the mobility testing and agreed with the general scope of the proposal.
As a result, we directed the LWG to develop an FSP within the next 30 days. The LWG has also developed an FSP to perform a side scan sonar survey.

survey.

Deliverables: In addition to the Round 2 Report Review (see below for a summary), we have the following documents outstanding:

Treatability Study Literature Review: We have received comments from DEQ and Eric Stern from EPA Region 2. We will finalizing comments shortly. If any one has comments, please get them to us ASAP.

Side Scan Sonar FSP: This document was received on January 2 2008. The LWG would like to implement this plan this coming May or June. Please provide comments by February 21, 2008.

Meetings:

Meetings:

rags:
Fate and Transport Comments: We are having a meeting to discuss the fate and transport model following the TCT meeting. The MOU Partners Meeting: This meeting is scheduled for Monday, February 11th from 1:00 - 4:00 at EPA's Portland Office located at 805 SW Broadway.

Milestone Meeting: This meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, February 12th from 8:30 - 12:00 at DEQ's NWR Office located at 2020 SW Fourth Avenue.

Upland Update

Below is a summary of the status of Round 2 Report Comments. We will run through this at the TCT

The following comments have been sent out:

Global Comment Table: These comments focused on Sections 1 - 9 and Appendices A, B, C, D, F and G of the Round 2 Report. These comments were sent out on January 15, 2008.

Screening Level Ecological Risk Assessment: EPA comments on the SLERA were sent out on January 18, 2008.

The following comments are in progress:

Problem Formulation for the Ecological Risk Assessment: This is the Problem Formulation for the Ecological Risk Assessment: This is the next big piece. The key elements of the problem formulation have been completed. We will be working to compile the information this week. We hope to have a draft of the full problem formulation completed by Tuesday, January 29, 2008. I would like to send this out by Friday, February 1, 2008.

General Guidance on the Feasibility Study: A draft is expected from Parametrix by the end of this week. My expectation is that we will send this out NLT February 15, 2008.

Comments on Section 10 (PRG and AOPC development) and Appendix H: Draft comments have been prepared. Chip and I will compile what we have and distribute to the HHRA and ERA teams for input. My expectation is that we will meet the March 1, 2008 deadline specified in our January 15, 2008 comment letter.

Comments on Section 11 - AOPC Specific CSMs: Draft comments were received from DEQ in June. Kristine is working with Rene and Matt Gubitosa from EPA to integrate upland and in-water data. My expectation is that we will meet the March 1, 2008 deadline specified in our January 15, 2008 comment letter.

The status of the following comments is unclear:

TRV Development: Our strategy is to provide direction to the LWG on the TRVs to be used in the baseline risk assessment. Parametrix is taking the lead on this with direction from Burt. I would like to discuss the status of this effort at this week's TCT. Right now, I am not confident that we can meet the February 15, 2008 deadline specified in our January 15, 2008 comment letter.

Appendix E - Foodweb Model and BSAF Development: I would like to provide comments on the application of the food web model and the BSAF development as part of our Section 10 comments since the FWM and BSAFs are critical for the development of PRGs for bioaccumulative chemicals. We have discussed getting support from EPA's Duluth lab or ERDC in Vicksburg. We need to make sure that whatever support we need is lined up.

Floating Percentile Method: We have lined up support from Don MacDonald to help us with this. As stated in our January 15, 2008 comment letter, we will provide direction on the use of the floating percentile and logistic regression models in our ERA problem formulation document. Right now, it is unclear to me when we will review the FPM in detail since we have collected an additional 55 bioassays as part of Round 3B.

If you have any questions or have additions to the agenda, please let  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{me}}$  know.

Thanks, Eric